



Topological boundary maps in physics: General theory and applications

Johannes Kellendonk, Serge Richard

► To cite this version:

Johannes Kellendonk, Serge Richard. Topological boundary maps in physics: General theory and applications. Perspectives in Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Theta, Bucharest, pp.105 - 121, 2008. hal-00821333

HAL Id: hal-00821333

<https://hal.science/hal-00821333>

Submitted on 20 Sep 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Topological boundary maps in physics: General theory and applications

Johannes Kellendonk and Serge Richard

*Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, Université Lyon 1, Université de Lyon,
43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France*

E-mails: *kellendonk@math.univ-lyon1.fr and srichard@math.univ-lyon1.fr*

May 2006

Abstract

The material presented here covers two talks given by the authors at the conference Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics organised in Bucharest in August 2005. The first one was a review given by J. Kellendonk on the relation between bulk and boundary topological invariants in physical systems. In the second talk S. Richard described an application of these ideas to scattering theory. It leads to a topological version of the so-called Levinson's theorem.

Introduction

The natural language for quantum physics is linear operators on Hilbert spaces and underlying operator algebras. These algebras are fundamentally non-commutative. Topological properties of quantum systems should hence be connected with the topology of these algebras, which is what one calls non-commutative topology. An important first question to be answered is therefore: what is the correct operator algebra related to a physical system? Since we are looking for topological effects this algebra should be a separable C^* -algebra and a good starting point is to look for the C^* -version of the observables algebra. Once the question about the right algebra is settled we are interested in studying its invariants asking above all: which of them have a physical interpretation? Finally, when we have identified the invariants, we want to derive relations between them, typically equations between topological quantised transport coefficients or, as in Levinson's theorem, between invariants of the bounded part and the scattering part of the physical system. Such relations can be obtained from topological boundary maps which do not exist on the algebraic level.

The purpose of this paper is twofold: Explain with more details the general theory outlined in the previous paragraph, and show its relevance in various applications in mathematical physics.

The first section is devoted to a brief introduction to the natural framework of topological boundary maps and to the description of the general theory. The second section contains examples of applications to solid states physics, while the third one is entirely dedicated to an application to potential scattering. Since crossed product C^* -algebras and their twisted versions play an important rôle in the applications, we have decided to incorporate an appendix on these algebras. Let us finally mention that Proposition 4.1 on the decomposition of magnetic twisted crossed product C^* -algebras as iterated twisted crossed products is of independent interest.

1 General theory of topological boundary maps in physics

A C^* -algebra is a special kind of Banach algebra. For our purposes, the fact that its norm satisfies the so-called C^* -condition does not play an important rôle, but we wish it to be separable, *i.e.* to contain a countable dense set. Infinite dimensional von Neumann algebras are non-separable C^* -algebras and therefore not suited. K -groups are topological invariants of C^* -algebras: they are abelian groups, which are countable for separable C^* -algebras. They are isomorphic for isomorphic algebras and one may think of them as simpler objects which might tell apart C^* -algebras. A very concise formulation of our philosophy is the following: If the C^* -algebra is somewhat naturally assigned to a physical system, then the elements of its K -groups are to be understood as topological invariants of that system.

1.1 K -groups and n -traces

The K_0 -group of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} is constructed from the homotopy classes of projections in the set of square matrices with entries in \mathcal{C} . Its addition is induced from the addition of two orthogonal projections: if p and q are orthogonal projections, *i.e.* $pq = 0$, then also $p + q$ is a projection. Thus, the sum of two homotopy classes $[p]_0 + [q]_0$ is defined as the class of the sum of the block matrices $[p \oplus q]_0$ on the diagonal. This new class does not depend on the choice of the representatives p and q . $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as the Grothendieck group of this set of homotopy classes of projections endowed with the mentioned addition. In other words, the elements of the K_0 -group are given by formal differences: $[p]_0 - [q]_0$ is identified with $[p']_0 - [q']_0$ if there exists a projection r such that $[p]_0 + [q']_0 + [r]_0 = [p']_0 + [q]_0 + [r]_0$. In the general non-unital case the construction is a little bit more subtle.

The K_1 -group of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} is constructed from the homotopy classes of unitaries in the set of square matrices with entries in the unitisation of \mathcal{C} . Its addition is again defined by: $[u]_1 + [v]_1 = [u \oplus v]_1$ as a block matrix on the diagonal. The homotopy class of the added identity is the neutral element.

For our purpose, higher traces will always be constructed from ordinary traces and derivations, which might both be unbounded. More precisely, an n -trace on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} is determined by the data $(\mathcal{T}; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ where \mathcal{T} is a trace on \mathcal{C} and $\{\delta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are n commuting derivations on \mathcal{C} which leave the trace invariant: $\mathcal{T} \circ \delta_j = 0$. More important than the fact that their characters define unbounded cyclic cocycles is for us that they define additive functionals on the K -groups by Connes' pairing: Extending \mathcal{T} and δ_j to matrices with entries in \mathcal{C} in the

canonical way one has, up to constants, for even n a functional $\langle (\mathcal{T}; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n), \cdot \rangle : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle (\mathcal{T}; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n), [p] \rangle = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) \mathcal{T}(p\delta_{\pi(1)}(p) \cdots \delta_{\pi(n)}(p)),$$

and for odd n a functional $\langle (\mathcal{T}; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n), \cdot \rangle : K_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle (\mathcal{T}; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n), [u] \rangle = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) \mathcal{T}((u^* - 1)\delta_{\pi(1)}(u)\delta_{\pi(2)}(u^*) \cdots \delta_{\pi(n)}(u)).$$

Here, S_n is the group of permutations of n elements.

1.2 The general theory

Let us consider a quantum system described by a linear operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let \mathcal{C} be a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that is related with this system. Here and in the sequel, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the C^* -algebra of all bounded operators in \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the ideal of compact operators in \mathcal{H} . For instance, the system is described by a self-adjoint operator H in \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{C} contains the C_0 -functional calculus of H . Suppose now that we can identify certain elements of the K -groups of \mathcal{C} with physically meaningful quantities. For example, the spectral projection $P_{(-\infty, c)}(H)$ of H would give rise to an element of $K_0(\mathcal{C})$, provided H is bounded from below and the value c lies in a gap of the spectrum of H . Since the elements of the K -groups exhibit some homotopy invariance, we expect that they will be stable under certain perturbations of the system. Suppose moreover that we have a higher trace such that its pairing with the K -groups describes a physically significant quantity. Then this quantity is topologically quantised, *i.e.* it takes values in a countable subgroup of the real numbers.

Now assume that we have two quantum systems, the first one related with a C^* -algebra \mathcal{J} and the second one with a C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} . Assume moreover that these are related via an extension, *i.e.* there exists a third algebra \mathcal{E} such that \mathcal{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to the quotient \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{J} . Another way of saying this is that \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{C} are the left and right part of an exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

i being an injective morphism and q a surjective morphism satisfying $\ker q = \text{im } i$. There might not be any reasonable algebra morphism between \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{C} but algebraic topology provides us with homomorphisms between their K -groups: $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{J})$ and $\text{exp} : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{J})$, the index map and the exponential map. These maps, which are also referred to as boundary maps, allow us to relate topological invariants of the two systems. Furthermore, with a little luck we also obtain dual maps on the functionals defined by higher traces and therefore equations between numerical topological invariants. Therefore, the procedure goes as follows:

1. Find a suitable C^* -algebra related with a given quantum system.
2. Identify K -elements and higher traces whose pairings allow for a physical interpretation.

3. Construct extensions to the C^* -algebras related with two different systems and compute the boundary maps to obtain relations between topological quantised quantities.

The reader may wonder at this point that operators don't seem to come up at all in the picture. This is misleading. An important part of the first and third step is actually to prove that the operators describing the system are related in some sense to the algebra. This affiliation is often a difficult analytical problem! Furthermore, the physical interpretation of pairings involves as well the operators.

2 Applications to solid states physics

One of the most common realisations of the ideas presented above is furnished by a quantum system described by a self-adjoint operator H in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a norm closed subalgebra \mathcal{C} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that can be considered as the algebra of observables. In particular, \mathcal{C} is expected to contain the energy observables $\eta(H)$, obtained from functions η which belong to $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, the algebra of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} that vanish at infinity. This section is devoted to a presentation of such realisations in the context of solid states physics.

Since crossed product C^* -algebras and their twisted versions are discussed in the appendix, we shall not recall their definitions in this section.

2.1 Algebras of energy observables derived from the set of atomic positions

An important class of C^* -algebras of observables can be obtained from the geometry of the set \mathcal{P} of equilibrium atomic positions in a solid. \mathcal{P} is a discrete subset of \mathbb{R}^n which we suppose to be of finite local complexity, *i.e.* for each $r > 0$, there exists only finitely many so-called r -patches $(\mathcal{P} - x) \cap B_r$, with x varying in \mathcal{P} . B_r denotes the closed ball centered at 0 and of radius r .

A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called \mathcal{P} -equivariant with range r if $B_r \cap (\mathcal{P} - x) = B_r \cap (\mathcal{P} - y)$ implies $f(x) = f(y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The sup-norm closure of all \mathcal{P} -equivariant functions with arbitrary range is called the C^* -algebra $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of \mathcal{P} -equivariant functions. A typical example of a \mathcal{P} -equivariant function is a potential V defined by $V(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}} v(x - y)$ where v is a short range atomic potential, *i.e.* a function which decays sufficiently fast for the sum to be finite. The unital algebra $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ carries the continuous \mathbb{R}^n -action α by translation. Then the algebra of the aperiodic structure described by \mathcal{P} is the corresponding crossed product C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} := C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}^n$.

Suppose now that the system is in an exterior constant magnetic field whose components we denote by $\{B_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n$ with $B_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\omega^B(x, y) := \exp(-i\Gamma^B\langle 0, x, x + y \rangle)$, where $\Gamma^B\langle 0, x, x + y \rangle$ is the flux of the magnetic field through the triangle defined by the points 0, x and $x + y$. We refer to the appendix for the general construction in the case of a non-constant magnetic field with components in $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. One may thus form the magnetic twisted crossed product C^* -algebra $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha}^B \mathbb{R}^n$ associated with the twisted actions (α, ω^B) . This algebra is simply denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}^B$, and if the magnetic field vanishes, then this algebra corresponds to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

The important fact is the following: Let $A := \{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with $A_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous vector potential for the magnetic field. Let $H = (P - A)^2 + V$ be the Landau operator perturbed by a potential V which is a \mathcal{P} -equivariant real function. This magnetic Schrödinger operator, which is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is affiliated to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in the following sense. There exists a faithful representation $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that for any $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta(H) \in \pi(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}^B)$. Note that the representation is constructed with the help of the vector potential A . It can be argued that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}^B$ is the algebra of observables for the system describing the motion of an electric particle in the aperiodic solid described by \mathcal{P} under the influence of the constant magnetic field B .

Let us discuss now some examples or related constructions.

Finite systems without magnetic field. Suppose that we have a finite system like an atom or a molecule and no external magnetic field. In that case \mathcal{P} would simply be a finite set contained in some ball $B_{r'}$, say. Therefore a \mathcal{P} -equivariant function with range r would be constant outside $B_{r+r'}$. Hence $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the unitisation of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}^n + \mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$, which is commonly called *the two-body algebra*. Let us note that the first summand is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and hence an ideal.

Crystals and quasi-crystals without magnetic field. Before the discovery of quasi-crystals a crystal was considered to be a periodic arrangement of atoms, the set \mathcal{P} being therefore a regular lattice. In that case, $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is simply the algebra of continuous \mathcal{P} -periodic functions on \mathbb{R}^n . The corresponding crossed product algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ can be seen as the C^* -algebra of observables associated with this periodic crystal.

Idealised quasi-crystals are often described by quasi-periodic sets \mathcal{P} . For example such a set can be obtained from a cut & project scheme. More generally it has been proposed to describe aperiodic ordered systems by repetitive Delone sets \mathcal{P} of finite local complexity with uniform existence of patch frequencies. In this case $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a lot more complicated. Its spectrum $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a foliated space which is transversally totally disconnected. Repetitivity corresponds to simplicity of the algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$, *i.e.* absence of non-trivial closed ideals, and uniform existence of patch frequencies to the fact that $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ carries a unique invariant normalised trace τ . We get then a 0-trace on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ by defining $\mathcal{T}(F) = \tau(F(0))$ on any continuous element $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of the crossed product.

We add the remark that the unique invariant trace on $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ corresponds to a unique invariant ergodic probability measure on its spectrum $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$. The condition of \mathcal{P} having uniform existence of patch frequencies can be relaxed leading to the freedom of choice for the trace which corresponds to a choice of ergodic probability measure on $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ and may be interpreted as a choice of physical phase.

Solids with boundary in a constant magnetic field. We consider a solid described by \mathcal{P} restricted to the half-space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (-\infty, s]$, and therefore with a boundary at $x_{\perp} \equiv x_n = s$. The new variable s describes the relative position between the \mathcal{P} -equivariant potential and

the boundary. We let it vary over $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $s = +\infty$ corresponding to the system without boundary.

In order to construct a suitable C^* -algebra for that system, we rewrite \mathcal{C}_P^B as a crossed product by \mathbb{R} ,

$$C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha}^B \mathbb{R}^n \cong (C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha_{\parallel}}^B \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R} =: \mathcal{C}. \quad (1)$$

Here $C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha_{\parallel}}^B \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is obtained by restricting the action to translations which are parallel to the boundary and the twisting cocycle to $\omega_{\parallel}^B(x, y) := \omega^B((x, 0), (y, 0))$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In the case of constant magnetic field this could be understood as a choice of gauge, but a more elegant approach which uses only gauge invariant quantities and generalises to variable magnetic field is presented in the appendix, Proposition 4.1. The action β contains, of course, the part coming from translations perpendicular to the boundary, see the appendix. Now, for the system with boundary it is natural to consider the algebra

$$\mathcal{E} := \left(C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \otimes (C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha_{\parallel}}^B \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \right) \rtimes_{\gamma \otimes \beta} \mathbb{R}, \quad (2)$$

which is the Wiener-Hopf extension of (1). γ is the translation action on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ which has $+\infty$ as fixed point. The evaluation at $+\infty$ defines a surjective morphism from \mathcal{E} onto \mathcal{C} .

The important fact, proved for $n = 2$ in [19], is the following: Let b be the component of the magnetic field pointing in the direction perpendicular to the plane, and let H_s be the restriction of $H = (P_1 - bQ_2)^2 + P_2^2 + V$ to the half space $\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, s]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The family $\{H_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}}$ is affiliated to \mathcal{E} in the following sense: For any $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\eta(H_s) = \pi_s(F)$, where $\pi_s : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ is a representation induced by the evaluation map at $(s, 0)$, $ev_{s,0} : C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \otimes C_P(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The possibility of letting s tend to infinity allows to relate continuously $\eta(H) = \pi_{+\infty}(F)$ with $\eta(H_s) = \pi_s(F)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Whereas the individual representations π_s are not faithful, their direct sum is faithful.

From this, it can be argued that \mathcal{E} is the algebra of observables for the family over s of systems describing the motion of an electric particle, in the aperiodic solid described by \mathcal{P} and under the influence of the constant magnetic field B , that is confined to the half space $\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, s]$.

The edge algebra. Let us describe the ideal \mathcal{J} which is the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. It is

$$\mathcal{J} := \left(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes (C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha_{\parallel}}^B \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \right) \rtimes_{\gamma \otimes \beta} \mathbb{R}, \quad (3)$$

which is isomorphic to $(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R}) \otimes (C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha_{\parallel}}^B \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Its elements are thus limits of elementary tensors $F_{\perp} \otimes F_{\parallel}$ where F_{\perp} is a compact operator, and F_{\parallel} is an element of the algebra $C_P(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_{\alpha_{\parallel}}^B \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. \mathcal{J} is therefore the algebra of observables which are localised near the boundary (or edge) in the loose sense of being compact in the perpendicular direction. We call it *the edge algebra*. As for \mathcal{C}_P we can construct a trace on \mathcal{J} starting from the trace τ on $C_P(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely we define $\hat{T}(F_{\perp} \otimes F_{\parallel}) := \text{Tr}(F_{\perp})\tau(F_{\parallel}(0))$, with Tr the standard trace on compact operators, on any trace class element F_{\perp} of $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R}$ and any continuous element $F_{\parallel} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, C_P(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of the crossed product.

2.2 Examples of pairings with physical interpretation

Let us present some systems in which the pairing of a K -element with a higher trace has a physical interpretation.

The simplest construction consists in using the K_0 -elements of an algebra of observables $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}^B$ defined by the spectral projections and a trace on the algebra to pair with them. For example, consider the K_0 -elements defined by the projection $P_{(-\infty, E_F)}(H)$ of the Hamiltonian H to the energies below the Fermi energy E_F , provided this value lies in a gap of spectrum of H . Pairing it with a suitable trace yields $\text{IDS}(E_F)$, the integrated density of states at the Fermi level.

In the absence of magnetic field, we mention that also the pairing of the full K_0 -group with the 0-trace \mathcal{T} , the so-called gap-labelling group has been of great interest. It describes the set of possible gap labels of a physical system. One can construct an element in the K_0 -group for each r -patch of \mathcal{P} . Pairing this element with the 0-trace \mathcal{T} yields the frequency of the patch. Note that the notion of frequency depends on the choice of ergodic measure on $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$. As has been proved relatively recently, these frequencies generate the gap-labelling group [5, 6, 16].

The most famous example is related to the topological quantisation of the Integer Quantum Hall Effect. One typically finds two models used to describe the quantisation. In the bulk model, the sample is modelled by a Hamiltonian H on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which is affiliated to $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rtimes_{\alpha}^B \mathbb{R}^2$. Here the Hall-conductivity σ_H , the transverse component of the conductivity tensor, is up to a universal constant the pairing between the K_0 -element determined by the spectral projection $P_{(-\infty, E_F)}(H)$, provided the Fermi energy E_F lies in a gap of its spectrum, and the 2-trace $(\mathcal{T}; \delta_1, \delta_2)$. In the representation of the algebra in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ discussed above, \mathcal{T} is the trace per unit volume and $\delta_j = i[Q_j, \cdot]$, the commutator with the j -component of the position operator. We refer to [4] for a discussion of the tight binding case where it is also explained that the condition that E_F belongs to a gap can be relaxed to E_F belongs to a mobility gap.

Examples of pairings related to edge states. The edge algebra \mathcal{J} is the algebra in which we expect to find the operators describing the physics on the boundary. We first construct an element of its K_1 -group.

Let Δ be an interval contained in a gap of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian H . Then $P_{\Delta}(H_s)$ will not be 0 for $s < \infty$, but rather the projection onto the edge states with energy in Δ . Now, consider the bounded continuous function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u(t) = 1 + \chi_{\Delta}(t) \left(\exp \left(\frac{-2\pi i}{|\Delta|} (t - \inf \Delta) \right) - 1 \right),$$

where χ_{Δ} is the characteristic function on the interval Δ . Thus, there exists an element $U - 1 \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\pi_s(U - 1) = u(H_s) - 1$. However, since $u(H_{\infty}) - 1 \equiv u(H) - 1 = 0$, it follows that U belongs to $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{E}$. Therefore U defines an element of $K_1(\mathcal{J})$.

To construct odd higher traces we make use of the trace constructed on \mathcal{J} and consider the derivations δ_j for $j \neq n$ as above and $\partial_{\perp} := i[P_{\perp}, \cdot] \equiv i[P_n, \cdot]$, the commutator with the infinitesimal generator of translation in position space perpendicular to the boundary.

The pairings of $[U]$ with the 1-traces $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \delta_j)$ for $j \neq n$, and $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \partial_{\perp})$ have physical interpretation. First of all, the trace $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ of an element $F_{\perp} \otimes F_{\parallel}$ may be interpreted as an average, namely

the average over the position s of the boundary of the usual trace on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ times the trace per unit volume on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ of $\pi_s(F_\perp \otimes F_\parallel)$. $\frac{1}{2\pi} \langle (\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \delta_j), [U] \rangle$ is the average of the operator $\frac{1}{|\Delta|} P_\Delta(H_s) [Q_j, H_s] P_\Delta(H_s)$. Since this operator is $\frac{1}{|\Delta|}$ times the j -component of the current operator restricted to the edge states, $\sigma_j := \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle (\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \delta_j), [U] \rangle$ is the j -direction of the conductivity along the boundary provided the Fermi energy lies in Δ .

Similarly $\Pi := \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle (\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \partial_\perp), [U] \rangle$ is the average of $\frac{1}{|\Delta|} P_\Delta(H_s) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_n} P_\Delta(H_s)$. Since the operator $P_\Delta(H_s) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_n} P_\Delta(H_s)$ is the perpendicular component of the gradient force restricted to the edge states, Π can be understood as the gradient pressure per unit energy on the boundary of the system again supposing that the Fermi energy lies in Δ .

2.3 Relating two systems

Now we consider two quantum systems, one with an algebra of observables \mathcal{J} the other with an algebra of observables \mathcal{C} , which are related via an extension \mathcal{E} . It turns out that in all our examples the extensions are Wiener-Hopf extensions determined by a continuous action β of \mathbb{R} on some auxiliary C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} . These are abstractly defined as follows: Given a C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} with a continuous \mathbb{R} -action β , the Wiener-Hopf extension of $\mathcal{B} \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{R}$ is the crossed product C^* -algebra $\mathcal{E} := (C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) \rtimes_{\gamma \otimes \beta} \mathbb{R}$. The evaluation at $+\infty$ for $C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ gives a surjective morphism onto $\mathcal{B} \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{R}$, which we assumed to be equal to \mathcal{C} . The kernel of this morphism is supposed to be equal to \mathcal{J} . One good feature of the Wiener-Hopf extension is that the K -groups of \mathcal{E} always vanish making the boundary maps ind and exp isomorphisms. They are in fact the inverses of the Connes-Thom isomorphism. Another advantage is that the dual maps on functionals defined by higher traces are simple and explicit.

Solids with boundary. In the context of aperiodic ordered solids with boundary we have already seen that the algebra \mathcal{E} is the Wiener-Hopf extension of \mathcal{C} with ideal \mathcal{J} , all these algebras being defined in equations (2), (1) and (3). So let us consider the boundary map on K -theory $\text{exp} : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{J})$. Under the assumption that the Fermi energy E_F belongs to an interval Δ which does not overlap with the "bulk" spectrum one can show that the image of the K_0 -class defined by the projection $P_{(-\infty, E_F)}(H)$ under this map is the K_1 -class defined by the unitary U above.

To describe the dual map we consider for simplicity the case $n = 2$. In this case the map identifies the functional defined by the 2-trace $(\mathcal{T}, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ with the functional defined by the 1-trace $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \delta_1)$. This leads to the relation

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_H$$

which expresses the fact that the Hall conductivity defined as the transverse component of the conductivity tensor in the bulk equals the conductivity σ_1 of the current along the edge [18, 20].

The dual map identifies furthermore the functional defined by the 0-trace \mathcal{T} with that defined by the 1-trace $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \partial_s)$ where ∂_s is the infinitesimal generator of translation of the boundary. The

latter functional is a linear combination of the functionals defined by $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \delta_1)$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \partial_\perp)$. As a consequence we get the relation

$$\text{IDS} = \Pi + B\sigma_1.$$

This relation is valid at the Fermi energy provided it belongs to a gap of the spectrum. We note that IDS is a bulk quantity which cannot be obtained from a measurement of the density of states near the Fermi energy, since $\text{IDS}(E_F)$ depends on the density of states at all energies below E_F . By contrast, Π and $B\sigma_1$ need only to be measured in an arbitrarily small interval containing E_F . Note that they are a priori introduced as quantities depending on an interval containing E_F but turn out to be largely independent of that choice. We mention that derivating the above relation w.r.t. the magnetic field strength B yields Streda's formula $\frac{\partial}{\partial B}\text{IDS} = \sigma_H$ [27].

3 Application to scattering theory

Let us start by recalling very heuristically the main idea of potential scattering. We consider a wave packet that is prepared in the far past far enough from a probe. Since we assume that the probe is of finite size, this initial wave packet is presumably asymptotically free. It is then supposed to evolve in time under the influence of the potential describing the probe to then move far away from the probe so that it can again be considered asymptotically free in the far future. It is commonly expected that all the observable information of the scattering process is contained in the so-called S -operator, an operator that relates the initial wave packet with the final wave packet. Under some weak hypotheses, this operator is unitary. On the other hand, the probe can possibly bind some states. In that situation, the projection on these states is... a projection! Thus, we face a situation in which there exist a unitary operator and a projection that are related with two connected systems: The system of a scattering process by a probe and the system consisting of the bound states of that probe. Having in mind the general theory presented in Section 1.2, one is naturally led to consider an algebraic framework that can link these two objects. This section is devoted to such a construction in the case of a two-body Schrödinger operator. Other applications and extensions are in preparation [17].

3.1 The framework

Let us consider the self-adjoint operators $H_0 := -\Delta$ and $H := H_0 + V$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $|V(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|)^{-\beta}$ with $\beta > 1$. It is well known that for such short range potentials V , the wave operators

$$\Omega_\pm := s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_0} \quad (4)$$

exist and have same range. The complement of this range is spanned by the eigenvectors of H , we let P denote the projection on this subspace. The scattering operator S for this system is defined by the product $\Omega_+^* \Omega_-$, where Ω_+^* is the adjoint of Ω_+ .

Levinson's theorem establishes a relation between an expression in terms of the unitary operator S and an expression depending on the projection P . There exist many presentations of

this theorem, but we recall only the one of [22]. We refer to [8], [12] and [23] for other versions of a similar result.

Let $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ be the unitary transformation that diagonalizes H_0 , *i.e.* that satisfies $[\mathcal{U}H_0f](\lambda, \omega) = \lambda[\mathcal{U}f](\lambda, \omega)$, with f in the domain of H_0 , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Since the operator S commutes with H_0 , there exists a family $\{S(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ of unitary operators in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ satisfying $\mathcal{U}S\mathcal{U}^* = \{S(\lambda)\}$ almost everywhere in λ [3, Chapter 5.7]. Under suitable hypotheses on V [22] and in the case $n = 3$, Levinson's theorem takes the form

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda \left\{ \text{tr}[iS(\lambda)^* \frac{dS}{d\lambda}(\lambda)] - \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right\} = 2\pi \text{Tr}[P], \quad (5)$$

where tr is the trace on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, Tr the trace on \mathcal{H} and $\nu = (4\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx V(x)$. Clearly the r.h.s. of this equality is invariant under variations of V that do not change the number of bound states of H . But it is not at all clear how this stability comes about in the l.h.s.

In the sequel we propose a modification of the l.h.s. of (5) in order to restore the topological nature of this equality. The idea is very natural from the point of view presented in Section 1.2: we rewrite the l.h.s. of (5) as the result of a pairing between an element of K_1 and a 1-trace. Beyond formula (5), we show that the unitary S is related to the projection P at the level of K -theory by the index map, *cf.* Theorem 3.2. Let us point out that the wave operators play a key role in this work. Sufficient conditions on Ω_- imply that H has only a finite set of bound states, but also give information on the behaviour of $S(\cdot)$ at the origin.

3.2 A suitable short exact sequence and its representation

In this section we construct a short exact sequence, *i.e.* an extension of two algebras. One algebra is associated with the scattering system and the other with the bound state system. We permit ourselves to do that twice, first in a heuristic way similar to Section 2.1 and then again more rigorously, shifting attention to the wave operator. This will lead us to natural hypotheses under which we obtain a relation between the scattering operator S and the projection P on the bound states via a boundary map of K -theory.

The size of the probe being finite, it could be described by finite set \mathcal{P} in the spirit of Section 2.1. For such a system we obtained the two body algebra $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{P} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}^n + \mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$. The first summand is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and we expect the projection onto the bound states to be compact, supposing that there are only finitely many. So the algebra of the bound state system should be that ideal of $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{P}$. Although $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{P}$ is actually an extension of $\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ by the ideal, it is not this extension which will be used.

The algebra describing the scattering part should contain all possible S -operators. Writing an S -operator as a unitary operator valued function of energy as above, it is therefore contained in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})))$. We now make assumptions which allow us to obtain topological information: (1) the map $\lambda \mapsto S(\lambda)$ is continuous w.r.t. norm topology, (2) $S(\lambda) - 1 \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$, (3) $S(0) = S(\infty) = 1$. These will be a consequence of our hypotheses below. They allow us to regard $S - 1$ as an element of $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))) \cong \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})) \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ which we therefore

consider as the algebra of the scattering system. The action in this crossed product is trivial and the isomorphism is given by Fourier transformation.

The extension we will use is

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}; \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{ev_{\infty}} \mathcal{K} \rtimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0, \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{K} is the algebra of compact operators in some Hilbert space. The sequence (6) is the Wiener-Hopf extension of the crossed product $\mathcal{K} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ with trivial \mathbb{R} -action on \mathcal{K} ; γ is the action on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ by translation, leaving the point $\{+\infty\}$ invariant, and the surjection ev_{∞} is induced by evaluation at $\{+\infty\}$. Note that setting $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ we have naturally $C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and so we expect P to be in the left algebra and $S - 1$ to be in the right algebra. But instead of verifying that directly we change perspective and concentrate on the middle algebra with the goal to identify the wave operator as an element of it. To do so we represent the above short exact sequence in the physical Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Following the developments of [13] we first consider the case $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and let A, B be self-adjoint operators in \mathcal{H} both with purely absolutely continuous spectrum equal to \mathbb{R} and commutator given formally by $[iA, B] = -1$. We can then represent $C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}; \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R}$ faithfully as the norm closure \mathcal{E}' in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of the set of finite sums of the form $\varphi_1(A)\eta_1(B) + \dots + \varphi_m(A)\eta_m(B)$ where $\varphi_i \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ and $\eta_i \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by \mathcal{J}' the ideal obtained by choosing functions φ_i that vanish at $\{+\infty\}$. Furthermore, we can represent $\mathcal{K} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ faithfully in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by elements of the form $\eta(B)$ with $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. This algebra is denoted by \mathcal{C}' .

In [13] position and momentum operators were chosen for A and B but we take $A := -\frac{i}{2}(Q \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot Q)$ and $B := \frac{1}{2} \ln H_0$. We refer to [14] for a thorough description of A in various representations. Let us notice that a typical element of \mathcal{E}' is of the form $\varphi(A)\eta(H_0)$ with $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ and $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the algebra of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}_+ that vanish at the origin and at infinity. We shall now consider $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ from the decomposition $\mathcal{H} \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ in spherical coordinates. Since A and H_0 are rotation invariant the presence of a larger \mathcal{K} does not interfere with the above argument. Thus we set $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}' \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}' \otimes \mathcal{K}$. These algebras are all represented in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, although \mathcal{C} is a quotient of \mathcal{E} . The surjection ev_{∞} becomes the map \mathcal{P}_{∞} , where $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}[T] := T_{\infty}$, with T_{∞} uniquely defined by the conditions $\|\chi(A \geq t)(T - T_{\infty})\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\chi(A \geq t)(T^* - T_{\infty}^*)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, χ denoting the characteristic function. We easily observe that $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}[\varphi(A)\eta(H_0)] = \varphi(+\infty)\eta(H_0)$ for any $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ and $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{K})$, where $\varphi(+\infty)$ is simply the value of the function φ at the point $\{+\infty\}$. Let us summarise our findings:

Lemma 3.1. *All three algebras of (6) are represented faithfully in \mathcal{H} by \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{C} . In $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the surjection ev_{∞} becomes \mathcal{P}_{∞} .*

Note that \mathcal{J} is equal to the set of compact operators in \mathcal{H} . For suitable potentials V , the operator $S - 1$ belongs to \mathcal{C} [14, 15] and P is a compact operator. The key ingredient below is the use of Ω_- to make the link between the K_1 -class $[S]_1$ of S and the K_0 -class $[P]_0$ of P .

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $\Omega_- - 1$ belongs to \mathcal{E} . Then $S - 1$ is an element of \mathcal{C} , P belongs to \mathcal{J} and one has at the level of K -theory:*

$$\text{ind}[S]_1 = -[P]_0. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $T_\infty = \mathcal{P}_\infty(T) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $\|\chi(A \geq t)(T - T_\infty)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Equivalently, $\|\chi(A \geq 0)[U(t)TU(t)^* - T_\infty]\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, since T_∞ commutes with $U(t) := e^{\frac{i}{2}t \ln H_0}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is then easily observed that $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} U(t)TU(t)^* = T_\infty$. Now, if T is replaced by $\Omega_- - 1$, the operator T_∞ has to be equal to $S - 1$, since $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} U(t)\Omega_-U(t)^*$ is equal to S . Indeed, this result directly follows from the intertwining relation of Ω_- and the invariance principle [1, Theorem 7.1.4].

We thus have shown that $\Omega_- - 1$ is a preimage of $S - 1$ in \mathcal{E} . It is well known that $\Omega_- \Omega_-^* = 1 - P$ and $\Omega_-^* \Omega_- = 1$. In particular Ω_- is a partial isometry so that $\text{ind}[S]_1 = [\Omega_- \Omega_-^*]_0 - [\Omega_-^* \Omega_-]_0 = -[P]_0$, see *e.g.* [26, Proposition 9.2.2]. \square

Remark 3.3. It seems interesting that the condition $\Omega_- - 1 \in \mathcal{E}$ implies the finiteness of the set of eigenvalues of H . Another consequence of this hypothesis is that $S(0) = 1$, a result which is also not obvious. See [15, Section 5] for a detailed analysis of the behaviour of $S(\cdot)$ near the origin.

It is important to express the above condition on Ω_- in a more traditional way, *i.e.* in terms of scattering conditions. The following lemma is based on an alternative description of the C^* -algebra \mathcal{E} . Its easy proof can be obtained by mimicking some developments given in Section 3.5 of [13]. We also use the convention of that reference, that is: if a symbol like $T^{(*)}$ appears in a relation, it means that this relation has to hold for T and for its adjoint T^* .

Lemma 3.4. *The operator $\Omega_- - 1$ belongs to \mathcal{E} if and only if $S(\cdot) - 1$ belongs to $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{K})$ and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\chi(H_0 \leq \varepsilon)(\Omega_- - 1)^{(*)}\| = 0$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty} \|\chi(H_0 \geq \varepsilon)(\Omega_- - 1)^{(*)}\| = 0$,
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|\chi(A \leq t)(\Omega_- - 1)^{(*)}\| = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\chi(A \geq t)(\Omega_- - S)^{(*)}\| = 0$.

Let us note that conditions (ii) can be rewritten as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|\chi(A \leq 0)U(t)(\Omega_- - 1)^{(*)}U(t)^*\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\chi(A \geq 0)U(t)(\Omega_- - S)^{(*)}U(t)^*\| = 0.$$

3.3 The topological version of Levinson's theorem

In the next statement, it is required that the map $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \lambda \mapsto S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ is differentiable. We refer for example to [14, Theorem 3.6] for sufficient conditions on V for that purpose. Trace class conditions on $S(\lambda) - 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are common requirements [11]. Unfortunately, similar conditions on $S'(\lambda)$ were much less studied in the literature. However, let us already mention that these technical conditions are going to be weakened in [17].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\Omega_- - 1$ belong to \mathcal{E} . Assume furthermore that the map $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \lambda \mapsto S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ is differentiable, and that $\lambda \mapsto \text{tr}[S'(\lambda)]$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, d\lambda)$. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda \text{tr}[i(S(\lambda) - 1)^* S'(\lambda)] = 2\pi \text{Tr}[P]. \quad (8)$$

Proof. The boundary maps in K -theory of the exact sequence (6) are the inverses of the Connes-Thom isomorphism (which here specialises to the Bott-isomorphism as the action in the quotient is trivial) and have a dual in cyclic cohomology [9], or rather on higher traces [10, 20], which gives rise to an equality between pairings which we first recall: Tr is a 0-trace on the ideal $C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{K}) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$ which we factor $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}' \otimes \text{tr}$. Then $\hat{\text{tr}} : \mathcal{K} \rtimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\hat{\text{tr}}[a] = \text{tr}[a(0)]$ is a trace on the crossed product and $(a, b) \mapsto \hat{\text{tr}}[a\delta(b)]$ a 1-trace where $[\delta(b)](t) = itb(t)$. With these ingredients

$$\hat{\text{tr}}[i(u - 1)^* \delta(u)] = -2\pi \text{Tr}[p] \quad \text{if} \quad \text{ind}[u]_1 = [p]_0, \quad (9)$$

provided u is a representative of its K_1 -class $[u]_1$ on which the 1-trace can be evaluated. This is for instance the case if $\delta(u)$ is $\hat{\text{tr}}$ -traceclass. To apply this to our situation, in which u is the unitary represented by the scattering operator and p is represented by the projection onto the bound states, we express δ and $\hat{\text{tr}}$ on \mathcal{UCU}^* where \mathcal{U} is the unitary from Section 3.1 diagonalising H_0 . Then δ becomes $\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda}$ and $\hat{\text{tr}}$ becomes $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \text{tr}$. Our hypothesis implies the necessary trace class property so that the l.h.s. of (9) corresponds to $\int_0^\infty d\lambda \text{tr}[i(S(\lambda) - 1)^* S'(\lambda)]$ and the r.h.s. to $2\pi \text{Tr}[P]$. \square

Remark 3.6. Expressions very similar to (8) already appeared in [8] and [12]. However, it seems that they did not attract the attention of the respective authors and that a formulation closer to (5) was preferred. One reason is that the operator $\{S(\lambda)^* S'(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ has a physical meaning: it represents the *time delay* of the system under consideration. We refer to [2] for more explanations and results on this operator.

Remark 3.7. At present our approach does not allow to say anything about a *half-bound state* but this will be remedied in [17]. We refer to [15], [23] or [24] for explanations on that concept and to [23] or [24] for corrections of Levinson's theorem in the presence of such a *0-energy resonance*.

3.4 Further prospects

We outline several improvements or extensions that ought to be carried out or seem natural in view of this note. We hope to express some of these in [17].

1. Our main hypothesis of Theorem 3.5, that $\Omega_- - 1$ belongs to the C^* -algebra \mathcal{E} , is crucial and we have provided estimates in Lemma 3.4 which would guarantee it. Such estimates are rather difficult to obtain and we were not able to locate similar conditions in the literature. They clearly need to be addressed.

2. Similar results should hold for a more general operator H_0 with absolutely continuous spectrum. In that case, the role of A would be played by an operator conjugate to H_0 . We refer to [1, Proposition 7.2.14] for the construction of such an operator in a general framework.
3. More general short range potentials or trace class perturbations can also be treated in a very similar way. By our initial hypothesis on V we have purposely eliminated positive eigenvalues of H , but it would be interesting to have a better understanding of their role with respect to Theorems 3.2 and 3.5.
4. In principle, Theorem 3.2 is stronger than Levinson's theorem and one could therefore expect new topological relations from pairings with other cyclic cocycles. In the present setting these do not yet show up as the ranks of the K -groups are too small. But in more complicated scattering processes this could well be the case.
5. In the literature one finds also the so-called *higher-order Levinson's Theorems* [7]. In the case $n = 3$ and under suitable hypotheses they take the form [7, equation 3.28]

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda \lambda^N \{ \text{tr} [iS(\lambda)^* S'(\lambda)] - C_N(\lambda) \} = 2\pi \sum_j e_j^N,$$

where N is any natural number, C_N are correction terms, and $\{e_j\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of H with multiplicities counted. The correction terms can be explicitly computed in terms of H_0 and V [7] and we expect that they can be absorbed in a similar manner into the S -operator as above.

4 Appendix on twisted crossed products

In this section, we start by recalling the definition of a twisted crossed product C^* -algebra borrowed from [21, Section 2]. We refer to the references quoted in that paper for a more general definition. Then, we consider the particular situation of the 2-cocycle defined by a magnetic field. Finally, a decomposition of the magnetic twisted crossed product as an iterated twisted crossed product is proved.

Let X be an abelian, second countable, locally compact group, and let \mathcal{C} be an abelian C^* -algebra, with its norm denoted by $\|f\|$ and its involution by f^* , for any $f \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume that there exists a group morphism $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ from X to the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{C} such that the map $X \ni x \mapsto \alpha_x[f] \in \mathcal{C}$ is continuous for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume also that there exists a strictly continuous normalized 2-cocycle ω on X with values in the unitary group of the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} . We refer to [28] for the definition of the multiplier algebra, but recall that if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid af, fa \in \mathcal{C}, \forall f \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Since \mathcal{C} is abelian, so is $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$. In other words, $\omega : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies the following conditions: For any $x, y, z \in X$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}$, (1) $\omega(x, y)^* \omega(x, y) = 1$, (2) the map $X \times X \ni (x, y) \mapsto \omega(x, y)f \in \mathcal{C}$

is continuous, (3) $\omega(x, 0) = \omega(0, x) = 1$, and (4) the 2-cocycle relation

$$\omega(x, y)\omega(x + y, z) = \alpha_x[\omega(y, z)]\omega(x, y + z) . \quad (10)$$

We have used in this relation that any automorphism of \mathcal{C} extends uniquely to an automorphism of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$. The quadruple $(\mathcal{C}, \alpha, \omega, X)$ is usually called *an abelian twisted C^* -dynamical system*. Finally, we shall also assume the additional condition $\omega(x, -x) = 1$, which holds in all the applications we have in mind.

Now, let $\kappa \in [0, 1]$; this additional parameter is convenient in order to relate our expressions with earlier results found in the literature. The special cases $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$ are related with the right and the left quantisation respectively. Most of the time only the case $\kappa = 0$ is presented, but $\kappa = 1/2$ is preferred in quantum mechanics because of some additional symmetry properties. However, let us already mention that the following structures are isomorphic for different κ .

We consider the set $L^1(X; \mathcal{C})$ endowed with the norm $\|F\|_1 := \int_X \|F(x)\| dx$ for any $F \in L^1(X; \mathcal{C})$, the multiplication

$$(F \diamond G)(x) := \int_X \alpha_{\kappa(y-x)}[F(y)] \alpha_{(1-\kappa)y}[G(x-y)] \alpha_{-\kappa x}[\omega(y, x-y)] dy ,$$

and the involution $F^\diamond(x) := \alpha_{(1-2\kappa)x}[F(-x)^*]$. Then the envelopping C^* -algebra of $L^1(X; \mathcal{C})$ endowed with these operations is called *the twisted crossed product of \mathcal{C} by X associated with the twisted actions (α, ω)* . We shall denote it by $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_\alpha^\omega X$, or simply $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_\alpha X$ if $\omega \equiv 1$.

Let us now assume that \mathcal{C} is a C^* -algebra of bounded and uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n , stable under translations. We also fix $X := \mathbb{R}^n$ and the action α of \mathbb{R}^n on \mathcal{C} is simply given by translations. Moreover, suppose that a continuous magnetic field on \mathbb{R}^n is also present. Its components are denoted by $\{B_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n$, and for any $q, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define

$$\omega^B(q; x, y) := \exp \left(-i\Gamma^B \langle q, q+x, q+x+y \rangle \right) ,$$

where $\Gamma^B \langle q, q+x, q+x+y \rangle$ is the flux of the magnetic field through the triangle defined by the points q , $q+x$ and $q+x+y$. If all B_{jk} belong to \mathcal{C} , then the map $\omega^B : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, y) \mapsto \omega^B(\cdot; x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies all the above conditions imposed on ω . Furthermore, the additional property $\omega^B(x, -x) = 1$ is always fulfilled. One may thus form the magnetic twisted crossed product C^* -algebra associated with the magnetic twisted actions (α, ω^B) and denote it simply by $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_\alpha^B \mathbb{R}^n$.

We now show how the magnetic twisted crossed product $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_\alpha^B \mathbb{R}^n$ can be decomposed as an iterated twisted crossed product. The strategy is inspired from [25, Theorem 4.1] which deals with more general algebras \mathcal{C} , 2-cocycles ω and groups X , but only in the special case $\kappa = 0$. Let us first observe that if $(\mathcal{C}, \alpha, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is an abelian twisted C^* -dynamical system, then $(\mathcal{C}, \alpha_\parallel, \omega_\parallel, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, with $\omega_\parallel(x, y) := \omega((x, 0), (y, 0))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and α_\parallel the restriction of the action to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , is also an abelian twisted C^* -dynamical system. For simplicity, we shall keep writing α and ω for α_\parallel and ω_\parallel , and \diamond, \diamond° for the multiplication and the involution in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C})$. Furthermore, we omit the superscript B in ω^B in the following statement and in its proof.

Proposition 4.1. *For any magnetic abelian twisted C^* -dynamical system $(\mathcal{C}, \alpha, \omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, there exists a continuous group morphism β from \mathbb{R} to the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha}^{\omega} \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha}^{\omega} \mathbb{R}^n \cong (\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha}^{\omega} \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{R}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. In this proof, we consider the elements $x_{\parallel}, y_{\parallel}$ of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and the elements x_{\perp}, y_{\perp} of \mathbb{R} . For $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C})$, let us set

$$\beta_{x_{\perp}}[F](x_{\parallel}) := \alpha_{-\kappa(x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp})}[\square(x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel})] \alpha_{(0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})}[F(x_{\parallel})]$$

with $\square(x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel}) := \omega((0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}), (x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp})) \omega((x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp}), (0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}))^*$. We may observe that for each $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the expression $\square(q; x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel})$ is equal to $\exp\{-i\Gamma_{\square}^B(q; (0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}), (x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp}))\}$, where the exponent is the flux of the magnetic field through the square defined by the points $q, q + (0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}), q + (x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})$ and $q + (x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp})$.

(i) Let us first prove that β defines a continuous group morphism from \mathbb{R} to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha}^{\omega} \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. It is obvious that $\beta_{x_{\perp}}[F]$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C})$. By taking into account the relation (10) and the special property $\omega(x, tx) = 1 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ of magnetic 2-cocycles, one also easily obtains that $\beta_{x_{\perp}}[\beta_{y_{\perp}}[F]] = \beta_{x_{\perp}+y_{\perp}}[F]$. Furthermore, for $G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C})$ one has $\beta_{x_{\perp}}[F \diamond G] = \beta_{x_{\perp}}[F] \diamond \beta_{x_{\perp}}[G]$ if for all $y_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the following equality holds:

$$\square(x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel}) = \square(x_{\perp}, y_{\parallel}) \alpha_{(y_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp})}[\square(x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel} - y_{\parallel})] \omega(y_{\parallel}, x_{\parallel} - y_{\parallel}) \alpha_{(0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})}[\omega(y_{\parallel}, x_{\parallel} - y_{\parallel})]^*.$$

But again, this can be verified with the help of relation (10). The same relation also leads to the equality $\beta_{x_{\perp}}[F^{\diamond}] = (\beta_{x_{\perp}}[F])^{\diamond}$. Finally, the continuity of the map $\mathbb{R} \ni x_{\perp} \mapsto \beta_{x_{\perp}}[F] \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C})$ can be proved by taking into account the strict continuity of ω and the continuity of the map $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. By a density argument, one completes the proof of the assertion.

(ii) Let us now define the bijective map: $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{C}) \ni F \mapsto F' \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; C_c(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C}))$ given by

$$F'(x_{\parallel}; x_{\perp}) := \alpha_{-\kappa x}[\square(\kappa x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel})^* \omega((x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp}), (0_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}))^*] F(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}).$$

The multiplication in $C_c(\mathbb{R}; C_c(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{C}))$ is defined by

$$(F' \diamond_{\beta} G')(\cdot; x_{\perp}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy_{\perp} \beta_{\kappa(y_{\perp} - x_{\perp})}[F'(\cdot; y_{\perp})] \diamond \beta_{(1-\kappa)y_{\perp}}[G'(\cdot; x_{\perp} - y_{\perp})]$$

and the involution is given by $(F')^{\diamond\beta}(\cdot; x_{\perp}) = \beta_{(1-2\kappa)x_{\perp}}[(F'(\cdot; -x_{\perp}))^{\diamond}]$, i.e. :

$$(F')^{\diamond\beta}(x_{\parallel}; x_{\perp}) = \alpha_{-\kappa(x_{\parallel}, 0_{\perp})}[\square((1-2\kappa)x_{\perp}, x_{\parallel})] \alpha_{(1-2\kappa)x} [F'(-x_{\parallel}; -x_{\perp})^*].$$

The final step consists in verifying that $F' \diamond_{\beta} G'$ is equal to $(F \diamond G)'$, and that $[(F')^{\diamond\beta}]$ is equal to $(F^{\diamond})'$. These equalities can be checked without difficulty by taking into account the relation (10) and the already mentioned property of magnetic 2-cocycles. A density argument completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

Serge Richard thanks the Swiss National Science Foundation and the european network: Quantum Spaces - Noncommutative Geometry for financial support.

References

- [1] W.O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, V. Georgescu, *C_0 -groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N -body Hamiltonians*, Progress in Math. **135**, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [2] W.O. Amrein, M.B. Cibils, *Global and Eisenbud-Wigner time delay in scattering theory*, Helv. Phys. Acta **60** (1987), 481–500.
- [3] W.O. Amrein, J.M. Jauch, K.B. Sinha, *Scattering theory in quantum mechanics*, W.A. Benjamin, 1977.
- [4] J. Bellissard, A. van Elst, H. Schulz-Baldes, *The noncommutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 5373–5451.
- [5] J. Bellissard, R. Benedetti, J-M. Gambaudo, *Spaces of Tilings, Finite Telescopic Approximations and Gap-Labeling*, Commun. Math. Phys. **261** (2006), 1–41.
- [6] M. Benameur, H. Oyono-Oyono, *Gap-labelling for quasi-crystals (proving a conjecture by J. Bellissard)*, in: Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Conference Proceedings: Constanța (Romania) July 2001, 11–22, Theta Foundation, 2003.
- [7] D. Bollé, *Higher-order Levinson's theorems and the high-temperature expansion of the partition function*, Ann. Phys. **121** (1979), 131–146.
- [8] D. Bollé, T.A. Osborn, *An extended Levinson's theorem*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), 432–440.
- [9] A. Connes, *An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for crossed products of a C^* -algebra by an action of \mathbb{R}* , Adv. in Math. **39** (1981), 31–55.
- [10] A. Connes, *Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation*, in: Geometric methods in operator algebras, Kyoto, 1983, pp. 52–144, Pitman Res. Notes in Math., Longman, Harlow, 1986.
- [11] E.B. Davies, *Energy dependence of the scattering operator*, Adv. App. Math. **1** (1980), 300–323.
- [12] T. Dreyfus, *The determinant of the scattering matrix and its relation to the number of eigenvalues*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **64** (1978), 114–134, and *The number of states bound by non-central potentials*, Helv. Phys. Acta **51** (1978), 321–329.

- [13] V. Georgescu, A. Iftimovici, *C*-algebras of quantum Hamiltonians*, in: Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Conference Proceedings: Constanța (Romania) July 2001, 123–167, Theta Foundation, 2003.
- [14] A. Jensen, *Time-delay in potential scattering theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **82** (1981), 435–456.
- [15] A. Jensen, T. Kato, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 583–611.
- [16] J. Kaminker, I. Putnam, *A proof of the gap labeling conjecture*, Michigan Math. J. **51** (2003), 537–546.
- [17] J. Kellendonk, S. Richard, *A universal topological Levinson’s theorem*, in preparation.
- [18] J. Kellendonk, T. Richter, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Edge current channels and Chern numbers in the integer quantum Hall effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 87–119.
- [19] J. Kellendonk, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Quantization of edge currents for continuous magnetic operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **209** (2004), 388–413.
- [20] J. Kellendonk, H. Schultz-Baldes, *Boundary maps for C*-crossed product with \mathbb{R} with an application to the quantum Hall effect*, Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), 611–637.
- [21] M. Măntoiu, R. Purice, S. Richard, *Twisted crossed products and magnetic pseudodifferential operators*, in: Advances in Operator algebras and mathematical physics, 137–172, Theta, 2005.
- [22] Ph.A. Martin, *Time delay of quantum scattering processes*, Acta Phys. Austriaca, Suppl. **XXIII** (1981), 157–208.
- [23] R.G. Newton, *Noncentral potentials: The generalized Levinson theorem and the structure of the spectrum*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), 1348–1357, and *Nonlocal interactions: The generalized Levinson theorem and the structure of the spectrum*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), 1582–1588.
- [24] R.G. Newton, *The spectrum of the Schrödinger S matrix: Low energies and a new Levinson theorem*, Ann. Phys. **194** (1989), 173–196.
- [25] J.A. Packer, I. Raeburn, *Twisted Crossed Products of C*-Algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **106** (1989), 293–311.
- [26] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen, N.J. Laustsen, *An introduction to K-theory for C*-algebras*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **49**, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [27] P. Streda, *Theory of quantised Hall conductivity in two dimensions*, J. Phys. C. **15** (1982), L717–L721.

- [28] N.E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-theory and C^* -algebras, a friendly approach*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.